

No. Question	Response
1 Is there an incumbent contractor for this potential requirement? If so, the following information would be most appreciated: Contractor, Contract Number, Start & Expiration Dates, Total Value, Contract Type	The GCOA Analytic Tools Program was initiated under an interagency agreement between DHS and another Federal Government agency (servicing agency). The servicing agency awarded a contract to Systems Planning & Analysis (SPA) and SPA awarded a subcontract to ABS Consulting for development of the GCOA analytic tools. Details of the contract awarded to SPA and the subcontract awarded to ABS are not available at this time.
2 Can you tell us the current incumbent(s) for the project and/or the GCOA Analytics Tool?	The incumbent is ABS under a subcontract awarded by SPA.
3 If our company does not have a Federal Supply Schedule 871, but rather a GSA Schedule 70 and other BPA and IDIQ contract vehicles within DHS, may we submit a response and compete for this work?	If DHS determines that the scope of the GCOA requirement falls within the scope of schedule 871 and elects to solicit offers under this schedule, then only vendors with contracts under 871 may submit responses. Teaming agreements, however, between vendors under schedule 871 and vendors with contracts under other schedules such as schedule 70 will be considered.
4 Who is the current tool developer?	The current tool developer is ABS Consulting.
5 Is there an incumbent for this requirement? If there is, who are they, when was this awarded and when does it expire, what is the contract number, was it awarded via FSS871?	The incumbent is ABS under a subcontract awarded by SPA. Details of the ABS and SPA contracts are not available at this time.
6 Is the name and contact information of the Program Manager available?	In order to preserve the integrity of the procurement process, the Contracting Officer will serve as the single Government point of contact for all inquiries from industry regarding the GCOA requirement. Accordingly, the name and contact information of the Program Manager will not be made available at this time.
7 Are there any special requirements for this program . . . i.e., security clearances for personnel?	Special security requirements are described in section 7, Security Requirements, of the SOW. In addition, as described in section 5.13, Earned Value Management System (EVMS), the contractor will be required to implement and maintain EVMS for the resulting contract.
8 Is there an ongoing list of interested parties, and if so, would I be able to obtain a copy of it?	Lists of interested parties are source selection sensitive information and are not subject to release.
9 I am interested in knowing who the "current tool developer" is.	The current tool was developed by ABS Consulting.
10 If a company can store classified information but does not have one or more of the other classified capabilities (i.e., secure voice facsimile communications, SECRET storage, maintenance of a SIPRNET terminal) would they be ineligible for such a contract in the event an RFP is released in the future? Specifically, would lack of a SIPRNET connection at contractor facilities make a company ineligible?	Lack of a SIPRNET connection will not make a company ineligible. Having a SIPRNET connection, however, may be considered an advantage in an evaluation. In addition, please note that in order to be eligible for award a company must be able to deploy a GCOA test and development system onto a SIPRNET backbone.

No.	Question	Response
11	If a company does not have a classified computer system, secured fax and secured voice capability for the first few months of the contract would they be ineligible for award?	Lack of a classified computer system, secured fax and secured voice capability will not make a company ineligible for award. However, having these capabilities may be considered an advantage in an evaluation.
12	Is it possible to be informed of the name of the contractor that is presently assisting DHS with this effort as stated in these documents?	ABS Consulting assisted DHS in the development of the GCOA tool under a subcontract with SPA.
13	Who are the current developer and contractor that are currently developing and modifying the GCOA Analytic Tools?	The current tool developer is ABS Consulting.
14	What opportunity will there be to learn more about the existing GCOA Analytic Tools prior to submitting a response to any future requests derivative of this RFI?	Publication of the draft Statement of Work currently constitutes the extent to which DHS is able to provide details about the existing GCOA analytic tools. Also, please note that DHS does not anticipate issuing further Sources Sought Notices or Requests for Information related to this requirement.